

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,625	PEARSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Aboagye	1725	

All Participants:

(1) Michael Aboagye.

(2) Attorney Stephen M. De Klerk.

Date of Interview: 07/11/06

Status of Application: Examiner's Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:45 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 4-15 were believed to be allowable over the prior art, during a telephone conversation between the examiner and the applicants' attorney on 07/11/2006, the applicants' attorney agreed to cancel claims 1-3. As a result claims 4-15 are now allowable, and the applicants reserve the right to file a divisional application directed to the subject matter of the cancelled claims.